Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,384	FROSTRUP ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VALERIE RODRIGUEZ- GARCIA	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: ap	pealed
(1) VALERIE RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA.	(3) MaryAnne Armstrong.	
(2) Laura Stockton.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 November 2009	Time: <u>12:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes provide a brief description:	eant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a) rejections of claims 13-15 and 20 as being unpatentable Berge et al. (J. Pharm. Sci. 1977; 66(1);1-19)	e over Scheel-Kruger et al. (US 6,2	288,079B1) as evidenced by
Claims discussed: Claims 13-15 and 20.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Claim 15, possible allowability if rewritten in independent form, rejection of claim 15.	because the signed Declaration of	11/10/2008 would overcome the
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
N. R.G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1626		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)